

SYMPLECTIC A_∞ -ALGEBRAS AND STRING TOPOLOGY OPERATIONS

ALASTAIR HAMILTON AND ANDREY LAZAREV

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish the existence of certain structures on the ordinary and equivariant homology of the free loop space on a manifold or, more generally, a formal Poincaré duality space. These structures; namely the loop product, the loop bracket and the string bracket, were introduced and studied by Chas and Sullivan under the general heading ‘string topology’. Our method is based on obstruction theory for C_∞ -algebras and rational homotopy theory. The resulting string topology operations are manifestly homotopy invariant.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this section we apply the results of [8], [9] to establish the existence of certain structures on the ordinary and equivariant homology of the free loop space on a manifold or, more generally, a formal Poincaré duality space. These structures; namely the loop product, the loop bracket and the string bracket, were introduced and studied in [2] under the general heading ‘string topology’. Since the original work of Sullivan and Chas a number of other papers appeared which investigated string topology structures from different perspectives. In particular, the approach of [3] and [11] was purely homotopy theoretic while that of [5] was based on the theory of minimal models. Ideas similar to those used in the present paper were employed in [14] to establish the existence of a ‘string bracket’ on the S^1 -equivariant homology of a punctured closed manifold (or a space homotopy equivalent to it).

It needs to be stressed that we define ‘string topology operations’ in a way different from that of [2]. In view of the similarities of their properties to the operations defined by Sullivan and Chas it seems very likely that our definition is, in fact, equivalent to theirs. However, this issue is not discussed in the present paper. In the recent work [4] the authors prove that the original Sullivan-Chas operations are also homotopy invariant.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definition of a symplectic A_∞ and C_∞ algebra and the main result of [9] which states, roughly speaking, that given a C_∞ -algebra with a compatible structure of a Frobenius algebra on its cohomology, there is a unique up to homotopy way to extend it to a symplectic C_∞ -algebra.

In Section 3 we use the afore-mentioned result to define the analogues of the Sullivan-Chas string topology operations on the free loop space of a simply-connected Poincaré duality space and on the S^1 -equivariant version thereof. The homotopy invariance of our constructions is immediate.

1.1. Notation and conventions. Throughout the paper we will assume that our ground ring is the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$; all the unmarked tensors are understood to be taken over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Given a graded vector space V we define the tensor algebra TV by

$$TV := \mathbb{Q} \oplus V \oplus V^{\otimes 2} \oplus \dots \oplus V^{\otimes n} \oplus \dots$$

We define the free Lie algebra LV as the Lie subalgebra of the commutator algebra TV which consists of linear combinations of Lie monomials.

We will use $\hat{}$ to denote completion. Given a finite-dimensional vector space V we can define the completed versions of TV and LV . These will be called the *pro-free* associative and Lie

algebra respectively. For instance the pro-free associative algebra would be

$$\widehat{TV} := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes i}$$

The pro-free Lie algebra is the Lie subalgebra of $\widehat{TV}$ consisting of all convergent infinite linear combinations of Lie monomials.

Given a pro-free associative or Lie algebra X , the Lie algebra consisting of all *continuous* derivations

$$\xi : X \rightarrow X$$

is denoted by $\text{Der}(X)$. Continuous derivations on X will also be called vector fields on X to emphasise similarity with the corresponding geometric notion.

Our convention will be to always work with cohomologically graded objects and we consequently define the suspension of a graded module V as ΣV where $\Sigma V^i := V^{i+1}$. We define the desuspension of V as $\Sigma^{-1}V$ where $\Sigma^{-1}V^i := V^{i-1}$. The term ‘differential graded algebra’ will be abbreviated as ‘DGA’.

Given a graded module V , we denote the graded $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear dual

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V, \mathbb{Q})$$

by V^* . For the sake of clarity, when we write ΣV^* we mean the graded module $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Sigma V, \mathbb{Q})$.

For a topological space X (always assumed to be a CW -complex) we denote by $C^{\bullet}(X)$ the singular cochain algebra of X with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$. The homology and cohomology of X with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ will be denoted by $H_{\bullet}(X)$ and $H^{\bullet}(X)$ respectively. The space of all maps $S^1 \rightarrow X$ from the circle S^1 to X will be denoted by LX . The space LX has an action of S^1 and the corresponding equivariant homology will be denoted by $H_{\bullet}^{S^1}(LM)$:

$$H_{\bullet}^{S^1}(LM) := H^{\bullet}(ES^1 \times_{S^1} LM).$$

2. SYMPLECTIC C_{∞} -ALGEBRAS AND THEIR OBSTRUCTION THEORY

In this section the notion of A_{∞} - and C_{∞} -algebra will be introduced as well as their symplectic versions and their obstruction theory. This material is borrowed from [8, 9] and the reader is advised to refer to these papers for further details and proofs.

The concept of an A_{∞} -algebra was devised by Stasheff [15, 16]; it is a homotopy invariant version of a strictly associative algebra whilst a C_{∞} -algebra is designed to represent homotopy commutative structures.

The notion of a *symplectic* A_{∞} -algebra (also known as an A_{∞} -algebra with an invariant inner product or a cyclic A_{∞} -algebra) is due to Kontsevich, [12, 13]; it could be readily extended to the context of C_{∞} -algebras. Symplectic A_{∞} (respectively C_{∞} -algebras) are homotopy invariant versions of Frobenius algebras (noncommutative and commutative respectively).

Let V be a vector space which we will assume to be finite-dimensional for simplicity. Choose a basis $t_1, \dots, t_n$ of ΣV^* , then $\widehat{T}\Sigma V^* = \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle\rangle$. Any continuous derivation $\xi : \widehat{T}\Sigma V^* \rightarrow \widehat{T}\Sigma V^*$ could be written as

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i(t_1, \dots, t_n) \partial_{t_i}.$$

where $A_i(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ are noncommutative formal power series in $t_1, \dots, t_n$. If all the A_i ’s have degree i we say that ξ has degree i . Thus, any such ξ could be written as $\xi = \xi_0 + \xi_1 + \dots$ where ξ_0 is a constant derivation, ξ_1 is linear, ξ_2 is quadratic etc.

We say that a continuous derivation, $\xi : \widehat{T}\Sigma V^* \rightarrow \widehat{T}\Sigma V^*$ vanishes at zero if it has the form

$$\xi = \sum_{i \in I} A_i(t_1, \dots, t_n) \partial_{t_i},$$

where the power series $A_i(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ have vanishing constant terms.

We will now recall the definition of an A_∞ and C_∞ -structure on a graded vector space V . An A_∞ or C_∞ -algebra is a graded vector space together with an A_∞ or C_∞ -structure.

Definition 2.1. Let V be a graded vector space:

- (a) An A_∞ -structure on V is a vector field

$$m : \widehat{T}\Sigma V^* \rightarrow \widehat{T}\Sigma V^*$$

of degree one and vanishing at zero, such that $m^2 = 0$.

- (b) A C_∞ -structure on V is a vector field

$$m : \widehat{L}\Sigma V^* \rightarrow \widehat{L}\Sigma V^*$$

of degree one, such that $m^2 = 0$.

An A_∞ or C_∞ -algebra structure is called *minimal* if its linear term m_1 vanishes. Note that m_1 determines a differential of the graded vector space ΣV^* .

Definition 2.2. Let V and U be graded vector spaces:

- (a) Let m and m' be A_∞ -structures on V and U respectively. An A_∞ -morphism from V to U is a continuous algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : \widehat{T}\Sigma U^* \rightarrow \widehat{T}\Sigma V^*$$

of degree zero such that $\phi \circ m' = m \circ \phi$.

- (b) Let m and m' be C_∞ -structures on V and U respectively. A C_∞ -morphism from V to U is a continuous algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : \widehat{L}\Sigma U^* \rightarrow \widehat{L}\Sigma V^*$$

of degree zero such that $\phi \circ m' = m \circ \phi$.

If the linear part ϕ_1 of ϕ determines a quasi-isomorphism $\Sigma U^* \rightarrow \Sigma V^*$ then ϕ is called an A_∞ - (respectively C_∞ -) isomorphism.

Remark 2.3. Any continuous derivation $m : \widehat{L}\Sigma V^* \rightarrow \widehat{L}\Sigma V^*$ can be uniquely extended to a continuous Hopf algebra derivation (where $\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*$ is equipped with the shuffle coproduct)

$$m : \widehat{T}\Sigma V^* \rightarrow \widehat{T}\Sigma V^*;$$

clearly all continuous Hopf algebra derivations on $\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*$ are obtained from $\text{Der}(\widehat{L}\Sigma V^*)$ in this manner. It follows that any C_∞ -structure gives rise to an A_∞ -structure in this way and all continuous Hopf algebra homomorphisms are obtained from continuous Lie algebra homomorphisms in this way. This is because the Lie subalgebra of primitive elements of our Hopf algebra $\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*$ coincides with the Lie subalgebra $\widehat{L}\Sigma V^*$.

The category A_∞ -algebras has a subcategory whose objects consist of the A_∞ -algebras whose A_∞ -structure is also a Hopf algebra derivation and whose morphisms are the A_∞ -morphisms which are also Hopf algebra homomorphisms. It follows from the discussion above that this category is isomorphic to C_∞ -algebras.

2.1. Cyclic and Hochschild cohomology of A_∞ -algebras. We start by recalling the notion of noncommutative forms on a pro-free algebra. Let $A = \widehat{T}V$ be a pro-free algebra on a vector space V .

Definition 2.4.

- (1) The module of noncommutative differentials $\Omega^1(A)$ is defined as

$$\Omega^1(A) := A \hat{\otimes} (A/\mathbb{Q}).$$

Let us write $x \hat{\otimes} dy$ as $x \hat{\otimes} dy$. $\Omega^1(A)$ has the structure of an A -bimodule via the actions

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot x \hat{\otimes} dy &:= ax \hat{\otimes} dy, \\ x \hat{\otimes} dy \cdot a &:= x \hat{\otimes} d(ya) - xy \hat{\otimes} da. \end{aligned}$$

(2) The module of noncommutative forms $\Omega^\bullet(A)$ is defined as

$$\Omega^\bullet(A) := \widehat{T}_A(\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^1(A)) = A \times \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^1(A) \underset{A}{\hat{\otimes}} \dots \underset{A}{\hat{\otimes}} \Sigma^{-1}\Omega^1(A)}_{i \text{ factors}}.$$

Since $\Omega^1(A)$ is an A -bimodule, $\Omega^\bullet(A)$ has the structure of a formal associative algebra whose multiplication is the standard associative multiplication on the tensor algebra $\widehat{T}_A(\Sigma^{-1}\Omega^1(A))$. The map $d : A \rightarrow \Omega^1(A)$ lifts uniquely to give $\Omega^\bullet(A)$ the structure of a formal DGA.

Further, the noncommutative de Rham complex $DR^\bullet(A)$ is defined as the quotient of $\Omega^\bullet A$ by the subspace spanned over $\mathbb{Q}$ by graded commutators i.e. all elements of the form $\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2 - (-1)^{|\omega_1||\omega_2|} \omega_2 \cdot \omega_1$ where $\omega_i \in \Omega^\bullet, i = 1, 2$.

Now let ξ be a vector field on A . Associated to ξ is the operator L_ξ on $\Omega^1(A)$ defined by the following axioms:

$$\begin{aligned} L_\xi(x) &:= \xi(x), & x &\in X; \\ L_\xi(dx) &:= (-1)^{|\xi|} d(\xi(x)), & x &\in X. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that ξ determines a well-defined operator on $DR^\bullet(A)$ which will again be denoted by the symbol L_m .

Definition 2.5.

(1) Let V be a graded vector space:

Let $m : \widehat{T}\Sigma V^* \rightarrow \widehat{T}\Sigma V^*$ be an A_∞ -structure. The Hochschild complex of the A_∞ -algebra V with coefficients in V is defined on the module consisting of all continuous derivations of $\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*$:

$$C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V) := \Sigma^{-1} \text{Der}(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*).$$

The differential $d : C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V) \rightarrow C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V)$ is given by

$$d(\xi) := [m, \xi], \quad \xi \in \text{Der}(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*).$$

The Hochschild cohomology of V with coefficients in V is defined as the cohomology of the complex $C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V)$ and denoted by $H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V)$.

(2) Let $m : \widehat{T}\Sigma V^* \rightarrow \widehat{T}\Sigma V^*$ be an A_∞ -structure. The Hochschild complex of the A_∞ -algebra V with coefficients in V^* is defined on the module consisting of all 1-forms:

$$C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V^*) := \Sigma DR^1(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*).$$

The differential on this complex is the (suspension of the) Lie operator of the vector field m ;

$$L_m : DR^1(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*) \rightarrow DR^1(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*).$$

The Hochschild cohomology of V with coefficients in V^* is defined as the cohomology of the complex $C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V^*)$ and denoted by $H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V^*)$.

Remark 2.6. Note that $\text{Der}(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*) \cong \text{Hom}(V, \widehat{T}\Sigma V^*)$ and $DR^1(A) \cong V \hat{\otimes} \widehat{T}V$. From this it is not hard to deduce that if (V, m) is in fact a strictly associative algebra (i.e. all higher products $m_i, i > 2$ vanish) then the definition of $C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V^*)$ and of $C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V)$ agrees with the standard one.

Here is a definition of the cyclic cohomology of an A_∞ -algebra.

Definition 2.7. Let $m : \widehat{T}\Sigma V^* \rightarrow \widehat{T}\Sigma V^*$ be an A_∞ -structure. The cyclic Hochschild complex of the A_∞ -algebra V is defined on the module of 0-forms vanishing at zero:

$$CC_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V) := \Sigma \left[\{q \in DR^0(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*) : q \text{ vanishes at zero}\} \right].$$

The differential on this complex is the restriction of the (suspension of the) Lie operator of the vector field m ;

$$L_m : DR^0(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*) \rightarrow DR^0(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*)$$

The cyclic Hochschild cohomology of V is defined as the cohomology of the complex $CC_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V)$ and is denoted by $HC_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V)$.

2.2. Symplectic structures. Let $(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*, m)$ be an A_∞ -algebra. We assume that V is finite dimensional over $\mathbb{Q}$ and that V possesses a nondegenerate graded symmetric scalar product $\langle, \rangle$ which we will refer to as the *inner product*. Then ΣV also acquires a scalar product which we will denote by the same symbol $\langle, \rangle$; namely:

$$\langle \Sigma a, \Sigma b \rangle := (-1)^{|a|} \langle a, b \rangle.$$

It is easy to check that the product on ΣV will be graded skew-symmetric, in other words it will determine a (linear) graded symplectic structure on ΣV .

We will consider the inner product on the underlying space of a symplectic A_∞ -algebra (V, m) $\langle, \rangle$ as an element $\omega \in (\Sigma V^*)^{\otimes 2}$. Given a basis x_i in ΣV^* the element $\omega \in T^2(\Sigma V^*)$ could be written as $\omega = \sum \omega^{ij} x_i \otimes x_j$. Consider the element

$$[\omega] := \sum \omega^{ij} [x_i, x_j] \in L^2(\Sigma V^*) \hookrightarrow T^2(\Sigma V^*).$$

Clearly $[\omega]$ does not depend on the choice of a basis. A derivation $\xi \in \text{Der}(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*)$ will be called *symplectic* if $\xi[w] = 0$.

Definition 2.8. An A_∞ -algebra $(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*, m)$ with an inner product $\langle, \rangle$ is called *symplectic* if m is a symplectic derivation. A C_∞ -algebra is called symplectic if it is so considered as an A_∞ -algebra.

Let $(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*, m_V)$ and $(\widehat{T}\Sigma W^*, m_W)$ be two symplectic A_∞ -algebras and $[\omega]_V, [\omega]_W$ be the corresponding elements defined above. We say that an A_∞ -morphism between two symplectic A_∞ -algebras $f : (\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*, m_V) \rightarrow (\widehat{T}\Sigma W^*, m_W)$ is *symplectic* if f takes $[\omega]_V$ to $[\omega]_W$. A symplectic C_∞ -morphism is defined analogously.

We see, therefore, that a symplectic C_∞ -algebra is a homotopy associative and commutative algebra with an inner product compatible with all structure maps. In particular, the homology of a symplectic C_∞ -algebra is a usual commutative Frobenius algebra. The following result, proved in [9] shows that, rather surprisingly, any minimal C_∞ -algebra which is symplectic *only up to homotopy* could be uniquely extended to a genuine symplectic C_∞ -algebra.

Theorem 2.9. Let $A := (V, m = (m_2, m_3, \dots))$ be a minimal C_∞ -algebra and $\langle, \rangle$ be an inner product on V which is compatible with m_2 in the sense that for any $a, b, c \in V$ one has $\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle a, bc \rangle$. Then there exists a minimal symplectic C_∞ -structure on V : $(V, m' = (m'_2, m'_3, \dots))$ such that

- (1) $m_2 = m'_2$ and the C_∞ -algebras (V, m) and (V, m') are C_∞ -isomorphic. We will call (V, m') satisfying these conditions a *symplectic lifting* of the (V, m) .
- (2) Any two symplectic liftings are *symplectically* C_∞ -isomorphic.

If an A_∞ -algebra (V, m) has a symplectic structure then its Hochschild cohomology with coefficients in V and in V^* are isomorphic:

Proposition 2.10. Let (V, m, ω) be

□

We will need the following result.

Proposition 2.11. Let (V, m, ω) be a symplectic A_∞ -algebra then the Lie subalgebra of $C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V) := (\text{Der}(\widehat{T}\Sigma V^*), \text{ad } m)$ consisting of all symplectic vector fields forms a subcomplex denoted by $SC_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V)$ respectively. Furthermore, there is an isomorphism $\Sigma^{|\omega|-2} CC_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V) \rightarrow SC_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V)$

□

3. MAIN CONSTRUCTION

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a simply-connected Poincaré duality space of formal dimension d . Then the graded vector space $\mathbb{H}_\bullet(M) := H_{\bullet+d}(LM)$ has the structure of a graded Gerstenhaber algebra. Two homotopy equivalent Poincaré duality spaces give rise to isomorphic Gerstenhaber algebras.*

Remark 3.2. The graded Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $H_{\bullet+d}(LM)$ consists of two operations: a graded commutative and associative product

$$a \otimes b \mapsto a \bullet b : H_p(LM) \otimes H_q(LM) \rightarrow H_{p+q-d}(LM)$$

and the graded Lie bracket

$$a \otimes b \mapsto \{a, b\} : H_p(LM) \otimes H_q(LM) \rightarrow H_{p+q-d+1}(LM).$$

Moreover, $\{a, ?\}$ is a graded derivation of $\bullet$ for any $a \in H_\bullet(LM)$. The operations $\bullet$ and $\{, \}$ are called the loop product and the loop bracket respectively.

Proof. There exists a minimal C_∞ -algebra $(H^\bullet(M), m)$ weakly equivalent to the cochain algebra $C^\bullet(M)$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.9 we could assume that the Poincaré duality form extends to an invariant inner product of order d on $(H^\bullet(M), m)$. To ease notation we will denote $H^\bullet(M)$ by V . Then $H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V)$ will denote the Hochschild cohomology of $(H^\bullet(M), m)$ with coefficients in itself and $H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V^*)$ the Hochschild cohomology of $(H^\bullet(M), m)$ with coefficients in V^* .

Then by Proposition 2.10 we have an isomorphism $H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V^*) \cong H_{\text{Hoch}}^{\bullet+d}(V, V)$. It is well known that the Hochschild cohomology of a differential graded algebra with coefficients in itself has the structure of a graded Gerstenhaber algebra; moreover two weakly equivalent DGAs give rise to isomorphic Gerstenhaber algebras, cf. [7]. Since there exists a DGA weakly equivalent to the A_∞ -algebra V we conclude that $H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V) \cong H_{\text{Hoch}}^{\bullet-d}(V, V^*)$ supports the structure of a graded Gerstenhaber algebra.

Since $C^\bullet(M)$ is weakly equivalent to $(V, m) = (H^\bullet(M), m)$ we have an isomorphism of graded vector spaces $H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(C^\bullet(M), [C^\bullet(M)]^*) \cong H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V^*)$. Therefore $H_{\text{Hoch}}^{\bullet-d}(C^\bullet(M), [C^\bullet(M)]^*)$ has the structure of a graded Gerstenhaber algebra.

Furthermore, the graded space $H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(C^\bullet(M), [C^\bullet(M)]^*)$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector dual to $H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(C^\bullet(M), C^\bullet(M))$ (Hochschild homology of $C^\bullet(M)$) and the latter is isomorphic to $H^\bullet(LM)$ by [1], [6]. Since the space LM is of finite type we have $[H^\bullet(LM)]^* \cong H_\bullet(LM)$ and we conclude that

$$\mathbb{H}_\bullet(M) = H_{\bullet+d}(LM) \cong H_{\text{Hoch}}^{\bullet-d}(C^\bullet(M), [C^\bullet(M)]^*)$$

has the structure of a graded Gerstenhaber algebra as claimed.

Homotopy invariance is likewise clear since for two homotopy equivalent spaces M and N the cochain algebras $C^\bullet(M)$ and $C^\bullet(N)$ are weakly equivalent. $\square$

Remark 3.3. Although two homotopy equivalent Poincaré duality spaces M, M' give rise to isomorphic Gerstenhaber algebras on their string homology, this structure is not natural in the sense that a map $M \rightarrow M'$ does not lead to a map between the corresponding Gerstenhaber algebras. An analogous situation arises when one considers the monoid of self-maps $\text{Map}(X, X)$ of a topological space X ; the association $X \mapsto \text{Map}(X, X)$ is not a functor even though homotopy equivalent spaces give rise to homotopy equivalent monoids of self-maps. The same remark applies to the string bracket considered below.

The other part of the string topology operations is called the *string bracket* and is defined on the equivariant homology of LM : $H_{\bullet}^{S^1}(LM) := LM \times_{S^1} ES^1$. To put the string bracket in the proper context we need to introduce *negative* cyclic cohomology of A_∞ -algebras.

Let (V, m) be an A_∞ -algebra, not necessarily unital.

Definition 3.4. The negative cyclic complex $CC_\bullet^-(V)$ of (V, m) is the total complex of the following bicomplex formed by taking direct sums:

$$(3.1) \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{1-z} C_{\text{Bar}}^\bullet(V) \xrightarrow{N} C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V^*) \xrightarrow{1-z} C_{\text{Bar}}^\bullet(V) .$$

The cohomology of $CC_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$ will be denoted by $HC_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$.

Remark 3.5. Standard spectral sequence arguments show that two weakly equivalent A_{∞} -algebras have isomorphic negative cyclic cohomology.

Lemma 3.6. *Let (V, m) be a unital A_{∞} -algebra for which there exists an integer N such that $H_{\text{Hoch}}^k(V, V^*) = 0$ for $k > N$. Then for any integer n we have*

$$HC_{-}^n(V) \cong HC_{\text{Hoch}}^{n+1}(V).$$

Proof. Note that the even-numbered columns isomorphic to $C_{\text{Bar}}^{\bullet}(V)$ are acyclic since the A_{∞} -algebra (V, m) is unital. This together with the assumption that $H_{\text{Hoch}}^k(V, V^*) = 0$ for sufficiently large k ensures that $CC_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the subcomplex $\overline{CC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$ whose columns are appropriate truncations of the columns of $CC_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$ and which has no nonzero terms above a certain horizontal line.

Consider the auxiliary complex $\widetilde{CC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$ formed by the *direct product* totalisation of (3.1). Then the complex $\overline{CC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$ can also be considered as a subcomplex of $\widetilde{CC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$. Note that for the complex $\overline{CC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$ there is no difference between the direct product or direct sum totalisation and therefore both its spectral sequences converge.

Comparing the appropriate spectral sequences for $\overline{CC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$ and $\widetilde{CC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$ we see, using the exactness of the rows, that these complexes are quasi-isomorphic and therefore they are both quasi-isomorphic to $CC_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$. That shows that under our assumptions the direct product and direct sum totalisations of (3.1) have the same cohomology.

Next consider the following bicomplex $\widehat{CC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{N} C_{\text{Hoch}}^{\bullet}(V, V^*) \xrightarrow{1-z} C_{\text{Bar}}^{\bullet}(V) \xrightarrow{N} C_{\text{Hoch}}^{\bullet}(V, V^*) .$$

Its cohomology will be denoted by $\widehat{HC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$. Similarly to the above, it makes no difference which totalisation (direct sum or direct product) we take. Now zig-zag arguments (or the appropriate spectral sequence) show, using the exactness of the rows, that $\widehat{HC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$ is isomorphic to the complex formed by the image of the horizontal differential in the rightmost column. The latter complex is clearly isomorphic to $CC_{\text{Hoch}}^{\bullet}(V)$. Therefore $\widehat{HC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V) \cong HC_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$.

Finally, using the acyclicity of $C_{\text{Bar}}^{\bullet}(V)$ we see that the projection map

$$CC_{-}^{\bullet}(V) \longrightarrow \Sigma \widehat{CC}_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Next we shall make a link between negative cyclic cohomology of an A_{∞} -algebra and a more customary notion of the negative cyclic *homology* of a differential graded algebra. This departure from our convention to work with cohomology rather than homology is necessary because the equivariant cohomology of a loop space is expressed in [10] in terms of cyclic homology rather than cyclic cohomology.

Recall that for a DGA V its cyclic Tsygan complex is the following bicomplex $CC_{\bullet}^{-}(V)$ lying in the right half-plane and formed by taking direct products:

$$\cdots \xleftarrow{1-z} C_{\bullet}^{\text{Bar}}(V) \xleftarrow{N} C_{\bullet}^{\text{Hoch}}(V, V) \xleftarrow{1-z} C_{\bullet}^{\text{Bar}}(V) .$$

Here $C_{\bullet}^{\text{Hoch}}(V, V)$ is the usual homological Hochschild complex of V and $C_{\bullet}^{\text{Bar}}(V)$ is the bar-complex of V (acyclic in the unital case). The operators $1 - z$ and N are formed as in the cohomological cyclic complex.

Then we have the following almost obvious result.

Lemma 3.7. *Let V be a (unital) differential graded algebra whose Hochschild homology $H_{\bullet}^{\text{Hoch}}(V, V)$ is finite dimensional in each degree. Then $HC_{\bullet}^{-}(V)$ is isomorphic to the graded dual of the graded $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space $HC_{-}^{\bullet}(V)$.*

Proof. Note that the finite dimensionality assumption ensures that the complex $[C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V^*)]^*$ is quasi-isomorphic to $C_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V)$. Since the functor $?^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(? , \mathbb{Q})$ takes direct sums to direct products we conclude that $[CC_\bullet^\bullet(V)]^*$ is quasi-isomorphic to $CC_\bullet^\bullet(V)$. $\square$

Remark 3.8. Let V be a differential graded algebra such that $H^n(V) = 0$ for $n < 0$, $H^0(V) = \mathbb{Q}$, $H^1(V) = 0$ and $H^n(V)$ is finite dimensional for all n . For example, the cochain algebra $C^\bullet(X)$ of a simply-connected space X of finite type satisfies these conditions. Then it is easy to see from the spectral sequence associated with the normalised Hochschild complex $\overline{C}_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V^*)$ that $H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V^*)$ is finite dimensional in each degree.

With these preparations we are ready to formulate our last result.

Theorem 3.9. *Let M be a rational Poincaré duality space of formal dimension d . Then $H_\bullet^{S^1}(LM)$ has the structure of a graded Lie algebra of degree $2 - d$. If $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then two homotopy equivalent spaces give rise to isomorphic graded Lie algebras. If $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then the choice of the fundamental cycle in $H_d(M)$ leads to two possibly nonisomorphic graded Lie algebra structures on $H_\bullet^{S^1}(LM)$.*

Proof. We know from [10] that $H_{S^1}^n(LM) \cong HC_n^-(C^\bullet(M))$ and using Lemma 3.7 together with Remark 3.8 we conclude that $H_n^{S^1}(LM) \cong HC_n^{-n}(C^\bullet(M))$.

Furthermore, the differential graded algebra $C^\bullet(M)$ clearly satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.6 with $N = 0$ since $H_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(C^\bullet(M), [C^\bullet(M)]^*) \cong H_\bullet(LM)$ and $H_\bullet(LM)$ is concentrated in nonnegative degrees. Therefore we have an isomorphism

$$(3.2) \quad H_n^{S^1}(M) \cong HC_n^-(C^\bullet(M)) \cong HC^{-n+1}(C^\bullet(M)).$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.1 let (V, m) be a minimal symplectic A_∞ -algebra weakly equivalent to $C^\bullet(M)$. Since by Proposition 2.11 the complex $CC_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V)$ is isomorphic (with an appropriate shift) to the complex $SC_{\text{Hoch}}^\bullet(V, V)$ consisting of symplectic vector fields, its homology supports the structure of a graded Lie algebra (the Gerstenhaber bracket). Since $HC_{\text{Hoch}}^n(C^\bullet(M)) \cong HC_{\text{Hoch}}^n(V)$ we have the Lie bracket on $HC_{\text{Hoch}}^n(C^\bullet(M))$:

$$HC_{\text{Hoch}}^n(C^\bullet(M)) \otimes HC_{\text{Hoch}}^k(C^\bullet(M)) \rightarrow HC_{\text{Hoch}}^{n+k-d+1}(C^\bullet(M)).$$

The latter is translated into the string bracket via isomorphism (3.2):

$$H_n^{S^1}(LM) \otimes H_k^{S^1}(LM) \rightarrow H_{n+k-d+2}^{S^1}(LM).$$

Now let us consider the problem of homotopy invariance. For a given rational Poincaré duality space N homotopy equivalent to M , the cohomology algebras $H^\bullet(M)$ and $H^\bullet(N)$ are isomorphic but the matrices of inner products on them differ by a nonzero rational number coming from the choice of the fundamental class. If $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then we see, using appropriate rescalings that $H^\bullet(M)$ and $H^\bullet(N)$ are isomorphic as Frobenius algebras after all. It follows that in this case the graded Lie algebra structures on $H_\bullet^{S^1}(LM)$ and $H_\bullet^{S^1}(LN)$ are isomorphic. If $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then the signatures of M and N might differ by a sign depending on whether the given homotopy equivalence $M \rightarrow N$ preserves orientation or changes it. So we have precisely two nonisomorphic structures of a symplectic A_∞ -algebra on $H^\bullet(M)$ depending on the choice of orientation. This leads to two possibly nonisomorphic graded Lie algebras on $H_\bullet^{S^1}(LM)$. $\square$

Remark 3.10. Clearly, the loop bracket and the loop product as defined above are nontrivial as a quick calculation of the Hochschild cohomology of $H^\bullet(S^n)$ makes clear. Since the cyclic Hochschild cohomology of a truncated polynomial algebra is concentrated in even dimensions, we conclude that for spaces such as S^n and $\mathbb{C}P^n$ the string bracket is zero. This is in agreement with the calculations made in [5]. To obtain an example of a nontrivial string bracket consider the space $X := S^3 \times S^3$ and let $A := H^\bullet(X)$. Then the Lie algebra consisting of symplectic vector fields of degree 0 is identified with the Lie algebra of the group of automorphisms of $H^3(X)$ preserving the Poincaré duality form. In other words this is the algebra of traceless 2×2 matrices and it clearly has nontrivial commutators, therefore the string bracket is nontrivial in $H_\bullet^{S^1}(X)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Burghilea, Z. Fiedorowicz, *Cyclic homology and algebraic K-theory of spaces. II*. Topology 25 (1986), no. 3, 303-317.
- [2] M. Chas, D. Sullivan, *String topology*. [arXiv:math.GT/9911159](#).
- [3] R. Cohen and J.D.S. Jones, *A homotopic theoretic realization of string topology*. Math.Annalen, 324, 2002, 773-798.
- [4] R. Cohen, J. Klein, D. Sullivan. *The homotopy invariance of the string topology loop product and string bracket*. [arXiv:math.GT/0509667](#)
- [5] Y. Felix, J.-C. Thomas, M. Vigué-Poirrier, *Rational string topology*. [math.arXiv:AT/0406593](#).
- [6] T. G. Goodwillie, *Cyclic homology, derivations, and the free loop space*. Topology 24 (1985), no. 2, 187-215.
- [7] Y. Felix, L. Menichi, J.-C. Thomas, *Duality in Gerstenhaber algebras*. [math.arXiv:AT/0211229](#). [arXiv:math.GT/0509667](#)
- [8] A. Hamilton, A. Lazarev, *Cohomology theories for homotopy algebras and noncommutative geometry*. [arXiv:0707.3937](#)
- [9] A. Hamilton, A. Lazarev, *Symplectic C_∞ -algebras*. [arXiv:0707.3951](#)
- [10] J.D.S. Jones, *Cyclic homology and equivariant homology*. Inventiones Mathematicae, 87, 1987, 403-423.
- [11] J. Klein, *Fiber products, Poincaré duality and A_∞ ring spectra*. [arXiv:math.AT/0306350](#).
- [12] M. Kontsevich, *Formal Noncommutative Symplectic Geometry*. The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990-1992, pp. 173-187, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [13] M. Kontsevich, *Feynman Diagrams and Low-Dimensional Topology*. First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. 2 (Paris, 1992), pp. 97-121, Progr. Math., Vol. 120, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.
- [14] A. Lazarev, *The Stasheff model of a simply-connected manifold and the string bracket*. Proc. AMS, to appear, [arXiv:math.AT/0512596](#). No. 2, pp. 205-295.
- [15] J. Stasheff, *Homotopy Associativity of H-Spaces I*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 108, 1963, No. 2, pp. 275-292.
- [16] J. Stasheff, *Homotopy Associativity of H-Spaces II*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 108, 1963, No. 2, pp. 293-312.